| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|-----|---|
| 2 | FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA |
| 3 4 | Pedro Gonzalez, on behalf of himself and) Case No. 2:15-cv-01427-ROS others similarly situated, |
| 5 | Plaintiff,) |
| 6 | vs.) |
| 7 | Germaine Law Office, PLC, |
| 9 | Defendant.))) |
| 1 2 | DECLARATION OF JAMES L. DAVIDSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES |
| 3 4 | I, James L. Davidson, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare as follows: |
| 5 | 1. My name is James L. Davidson. |
| 6 | 2. I am over twenty-one years of age, and am fully competent to make the |
| 7 8 | statements contained in this declaration. |
| 9 | 3. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and, if called upon, l |
| 0 | could and would competently testify thereto. |
| 1 2 | 4. I am a partner at the law firm of Greenwald Davidson Radbil PLLC. |
| 3 | counsel for Pedro Gonzalez ("Plaintiff"), and class counsel in the above-titled action. |
| 4 | make this declaration in support of Plaintiff's unopposed motion for an award of |
| 5 | attorneys' fees and expenses. ¹ |
| 27 | |
| 8 | The terms and conditions of the settlement are contained in the Settlement Agreement dated March 4, 2016 (the "Settlement Agreement"). <i>See</i> Dkt. No. 30-1 at 6-47. All capitalized terms not defined herein have the same meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement. |

 5. The purpose of this declaration is to set forth the basis for the requested attorneys' fees and expense award. This declaration demonstrates that the requested award is reasonable and should be approved by the Court. Notably, Germaine Law Office, PLC ("Defendant") does not oppose this fee and expense request.

Brief Overview of the Settlement

- 6. As more specifically set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the settlement requires Defendant to create a settlement fund of \$7,837, to be distributed pro-rata to each class member who participates in the settlement. Given that there are 461 class members, class members who did not exclude themselves from the settlement will each receive a check for at least \$17. To the extent any settlement checks go uncashed after the claims administrator takes all reasonable steps to forward checks to any forwarding addresses, such funds will be disbursed to The Arizona Foundation for Legal Services and Education, a non-profit entity selected by the parties as a *cy pres* recipient. Furthermore, Defendant has agreed to ensure that it is complying with the validation requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g moving forward.
- 7. Subject to Court approval, the costs of class notice and administration, an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, and statutory damages for Plaintiff will also be paid by Defendant, separate and apart from the Settlement Fund. To that end, Defendant has agreed to pay statutory damages to Plaintiff of \$750.
- 8. In light of, among other things, the cap on statutory damages under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA"), *see* 15 U.S.C. 1692k, class counsel believe that the settlement is an excellent result for the class. Indeed, the

Settlement Fund exceeds one percent of Defendant's net worth under a *Sanders v. Jackson*, 209 F.3d 998 (7th Cir. 2000) analysis.

- 9. For creating these benefits, class counsel seek a fee and expense award of \$33,650. The requested attorneys' fees are consistent with awards in fee-shifting, class action cases generally, and are supported by class counsel's lodestar and expenses.
- 10. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that class counsel should be awarded attorneys' fees and expenses in the total amount of \$33,650.

Class Counsel's Background and Experience

- I graduated from the University of Florida in 2000 and from the University of Florida Levin College of Law in 2003.
- 12. I have extensive experience litigating consumer protection class actions, including class actions brought under the FDCPA.
- 13. My firm has been appointed class counsel in numerous class actions throughout the country, including those brought under the FDCPA. See, e.g., Durham v. Schlee & Stillman, LLC, Case 8:15-cv-01652-GJH, Doc. 16 (D. Md. May 31, 2016); Harper v. Law Office of Harris and Zide LLP, Case No. 15-cv-01114-HSG, 2016 WL 2344194, at *6 (N.D. Cal. May 4, 2016); Brown v. Hunt & Henriques, Attorneys at Law, Case 5:15-cv-01111-EJD, ECF No. 45 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 26, 2016); Lehmeyer v. Messerli & Kramer, P.A., Civil. No. 15–02419 (HB), 2016 WL 1576439, at *1 (D. Minn. Apr. 15, 2016); Chamberlin v. Mullooly, Jeffrey, Rooney & Flynn, LLP, No. 15-02361, ECF No. 36 (D.N.J. Feb. 9, 2016); Schuchardt v. Law Office of Rory W. Clark, 314 F.R.D. 673, 690 (N.D. Cal. 2016); Whitford v. Weber & Olcese, P.L.C., No. 15-400, 2016 WL

1 122393 (W.D. Mich. Jan. 11, 2016); Garza v. Mitchell Rubenstein & Assocs., P.C., No. 15-1572, 2015 WL 9594286 (D. Md. Dec. 28, 2015); Prater v. Medicredit, Inc., No. 14-3 00159, 2015 WL 8331602 (E.D. Mo. Dec. 7, 2015); Baldwin v. Glasser & Glasser, 5 P.L.C., No. 3:15-cv-00490-HEH, 2015 WL 77669207, at *1 (E.D. Va. Dec. 1, 2015); 6 McWilliams v. Advanced Recovery Sys., Inc., 310 F.R.D. 337, 341 (S.D. Miss. 2015); 7 Oaks v. Parker L. Moss, P.C., No. 3:15-CV-00196-CAN, 2015 WL 5737595, at *1 (N.D. Ind. Sept. 29, 2015); Jones v. I.Q. Data Int'l, Inc., No. 1:14-cv-00130-PJK-GBW, 2015 9 10 WL 5704016, at *2 (D.N.M. Sept. 23, 2015); Lambeth v. Advantage Fin. Servs., LLC, 11 No. 1:15-cv-33-BLW, 2015 WL 4624008 (D. Idaho Aug. 3, 2015); Rhodes v. Olson 12 Assocs., P.C. d/b/a Olson Shaner, 83 F. Supp. 3d 1096, 1115 (D. Colo. 2015); Roundtree 13 14 v. Bush Ross, P.A., 304 F.R.D 644, 661 (M.D. Fla. 2015); Gonzalez v. Dynamic Recovery 15 Solutions, LLC, Nos. 14-24502, 14-20933, 2015 WL 738329, at *2 (S.D. Fla. Feb. 23, 16 2015); Esposito v. Deatrick & Spies, P.S.C., No. 13-1416, 2015 WL 390392, at *2 17 18 (N.D.N.Y. Jan. 28, 2015); Green v. Dressman Benzinger Lavelle, PSC, No. 14-00142, 19 2015 WL 223764, at *2 (S.D. Ohio Jan. 16, 2015); Donnelly v. EquityExperts.org, LLC, 20 No. 13-10017, 2015 WL 249522, at *2 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 14, 2015); Ritchie v. Van Ru 21 22 Credit Corp., No. 12-01714, 2014 WL 3955268, at *2 (D. Ariz. Aug. 13, 2014) 23 (McNamee, J); Hunter v. Nicholas Fin. Corp., No. 0:13-cv-61126-DMM, Doc. 32 (S.D. 24 Fla. Apr. 28, 2014); Sharf v. Fin. Asset Resolution, LLC, 295 F.R.D. 664, 671 (S.D. Fla. 25 26 2014). 27

28

14. Moreover, multiple district courts have commended my firm's efforts and experience in consumer class action litigation. *See Whitford v. Weber & Olcese, PLC*,

Case No. 1:15-cv-400, Dkt. No. 29 (Jan. 11, 2016) ("I will say this. I think that this has been one of the most efficient and well presented cases of this type that I have seen. I do commend counsel on both sides. I think that your professionalism and your ability to resolve the case in a way that is very transparent, very I think appropriate to the nature of the cause of action, to the number of people in the class has all, it's just been excellent. And I appreciate it very much."); Donnelly v. EquityExperts.org, LLC, No. 13-10017, Dkt. No. 37 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 14, 2015) ("[W]e see a fair number of FDCPA cases that are not necessarily at this level of sophistication or seriousness but I think that the—both sides appear to have really approached this with a positive attitude in trying to reach a settlement that from what I can see, appears to be the right thing to do in a reasonable and appropriate way."); Ritchie v. Van Ru Credit Corp., Case No. CIV 12-1714, Dkt. No. 99 (July 21, 2014) (McNamee, J.) ("I want to thank all of you. It's been a pleasure. I hope that you will come back and see us at some time in the future. And if you don't, I have a lot of cases I would like to assign you, because you've been immensely helpful both to your clients and to the Court. And that's important. So I want to thank you all very much.").

The Court Should Approve the Requested Attorney's Fee and Expense Award

- 15. Class counsel seek an award of attorneys' fees and expenses in the amount of \$33,650. Class counsel handled this case on a contingency basis, advanced all litigation costs and expenses, and have not received any payment to date for our work on behalf of Plaintiff and the class.
 - 16. Based on the quality of class counsel's work, the time involved, and the

2.1

2.7

benefit obtained for Plaintiff and class members in light of the risks, the requested fee and expense award is reasonable. Class counsel used its skill and experience to litigate this case in an efficient and effective manner, and obtain an excellent result for Plaintiff and the class, while limiting the use of Court resources, and keeping attorneys' fees and expenses manageable. The fee and expense request here is reasonable, but is by no means a windfall.

- 17. The time incurred by class counsel included time for researching and preparing the class action complaint, preparing initial disclosures, negotiating and preparing the proposed case management plan, propounding requests for production and interrogatories, negotiating the terms of a protective order, conducting an analysis of Defendant's net worth, negotiating the settlement, including drafting the written settlement agreement, preparing the preliminary approval papers, including the preliminary and final approval orders, conferencing with Plaintiff, coordinating with the settlement administrator, and preparing a fee petition, among other things.
- 18. As the date of this Declaration, Greenwald Davidson Radbil PLLC attorneys have spent a total of 77.9 hours litigating this case. James L. Davidson spent 65.5 hours, Michael L. Greenwald spent 6.6 hours, Aaron D. Radbil spent 1.5 hours, and Jesse S. Johnson spent 4.9 hours, resulting in a total lodestar of \$30,915. In addition, I estimate that my firm will spend an additional approximately 25-35 hours on this matter, including communicating with class members, preparing a final approval motion and supporting documentation, preparing for, traveling to, and attending the final approval hearing in Arizona, and coordinating with the class administrator, among other things. As

28

a result, I estimate that my firm's total lodestar, including the additional estimated time necessary to conclude this matter, will be between \$40,915 and \$44,915. As such, the fees and expenses incurred by class counsel in this case will exceed the attorneys' fee and expense award sought, further underscoring its reasonableness.

- 19. The time included herein is evidenced by my firm's electronically stored time records entered contemporaneously with the respective task to which they relate, each of which accurately reflects the work performed.
- 20. Mr. Greenwald and I both have in excess of eleven years of litigation experience and in excess of ten years litigating class actions. Mr. Radbil has in excess of nine years of litigation experience, during which time he focused his practice entirely on consumer protection litigation, including class actions. Mr. Johnson has in excess of six years of litigation experience, during which time he focused his practice entirely on class action litigation. Mr. Greenwald, Mr. Radbil and I bill at a rate of \$400 per hour—a rate specifically approved in FDCPA class actions my firm handled in the past. See Schuchardt v. Law Office of Rory W. Clark, 314 F.R.D. 673, 689 (N.D. Cal. 2016) ("Given that Class Counsel has been appointed in numerous class actions, including FDCPA cases; courts have awarded them exactly the same rates requested here in previous cases; and courts in this District found similar rates appropriate in FDCPA cases, Class Counsel's requested rates are reasonable."); Gonzalez, 2015 WL 738329, at *4 ("Defendant shall pay Class Counsel [Greenwald Davidson Radbil PLLC] \$65,000.00 for attorneys' fees and expenses, which is based in part upon Class Counsel's reasonable hourly rate of \$400 per hour."). Mr. Johnson bills at a rate of \$350 per hour.

| 21. A portion of the \$33,650 requested by class counsel consists of the |
|---|
| reimbursement of the expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of the |
| litigation. To date, class counsel incurred reimbursable expenses in the amount o |
| \$515.30. These expenses include the filing fee for the complaint, the fee for service o |
| process, and charges for using Pacer. I estimate that we will likely incur additional |
| expenses of approximately \$1,000 associated with travel between Florida and Arizona fo |
| the final approval hearing, including airfare and hotel, airport parking in Fort Lauderdale |
| transportation to and from the Phoenix airport, and meals. |

22. I respectfully submit that the requested fee and expense award of \$33,650 is reasonable for a certified class action, particularly one where class members will receive meaningful cash benefits and where the lawsuit succeeded in causing Defendant to revisit its business practices. Notably, to date, no class members have objected to the proposed fee and expenses award.

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above and in the accompanying Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses, submitted concurrently herewith, I respectfully submit that the unopposed motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses should be granted.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 1, 2016.

By: <u>s/James L. Davidson</u> James L. Davidson